# Meeting of the Central Valley Flood Protection Board June 26, 2015

# Staff Report

# San Joaquin County Stanislaus River Bridge Improvement, San Joaquin and Stanislaus County

# <u> 1.0 – ITEM</u>

Consider Central Valley Flood Protection Board (Board) approval to widen and replace a Bridge (No. 38C-032) across the Stanislaus River (Attachment A) by Draft Permit No. 19015 (Attachment B).

### 2.0 – APPLICANT

San Joaquin County

# <u>3.0 – PROJECT LOCATION</u>

The project is located in the southeast portion of San Joaquin County and the northern portion of Stanislaus County, south of the city of Escalon (approximate population 7,100 per the 2010 Census (Attachment A). The bridge crosses the Stanislaus River directly south of the intersection at East River Road on McHenry Avenue.

# 4.0 – PROJECT DESCRIPTION

San Joaquin County (County), in cooperation with Stanislaus County and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), propose to replace the existing bridge built in 1959 with a new wider bridge under their Highway Bridge Program.

The proposed 25-span bridge is approximately 1,150 feet long and will be widened to accommodate a five lane configuration for McHenry Avenue consisting of: four (4) 12-foot-wide travel lanes, a 12-foot wide median/left turn lane, and two five (5)-foot wide shoulders.

# 5.0 – AUTHORITY OF THE BOARD

California Water Code § 8534, 8590 – 8610.5, and 8700 – 8710

# Title 23:

- § 6 Need for a Permit
- § 108 Existing Encroachments
- § 112 Streams Regulated and Nonpermissible Work Periods
- § 116 Borrow and Excavation Activities
- § 121 Erosion Control
- § 128 Bridges
- § 131 Vegetation

# 6.0 – AGENCY COMMENTS AND ENDORSEMENTS

The comments and endorsements associated with this project from all pertinent agencies are shown below:

 The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) decision letter <u>was received</u> on June XX, 2015 and indicated that the USACE District Engineer has no objection to the project, subject to conditions. This letter has been incorporated into the permit as Exhibit A.

# 7.0 – PROJECT ANALYSIS

# 7.1 – Project Construction Details

The proposed project is estimated to take three (3) construction seasons to complete. During the first construction season, one-half of the proposed bridge would be built upstream of and immediately adjacent to the existing bridge. During the second construction season, all traffic would be diverted to the new half of the bridge, and the existing bridge would be demolished. During the third construction season, the new bridge and roadwork would be completed.

Diversion of the Stanislaus River at the construction site will be required to remove the existing bridge superstructure and piers, place temporary falsework, and construct the new bridge. Temporary diversion of the low flows in the river will be installed to allow construction within the waterway area. Temporary embankment/work pad(s) will be constructed of clean, local cobble and gravel substrate material approved by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and National Marine Fisheries Service. The flows would be diverted into temporary culvert pipes that pass through the embankment/work pad. The

work pad would also be used to support drilling equipment for the cast-in-drilled-hole (CIDH) piles.

The proposed 25-span, precast and pre-stressed concrete bridge will be constructed on the same alignment and widened upstream of the existing bridge and will be approximately 1,150 feet in length (Attachment C). The proposed bridge will be supported by octagonal concrete piles and CIDH piles. Caltrans Standard Class 140 concrete piles will be used to support the abutments. Bent Nos. 2-21 will be 24-inch octagonal PC/PS concrete piles driven into the ground by use of a diesel powered pile hammer, Bent Nos. 22 and 25 will be 54-inch CIDH, and Bent Nos. 23 and 24 will be 72-inch CIDH and are located within the Stanislaus River bed.

# 7.2 – Hydraulic Summary

Hydraulic characteristics of the proposed bridge are described in the Hydraulic Summary (Attachment D). The bridge was analyzed using HEC-RAS version 3.1.3 to model the water surface elevation (WSE) for the existing and proposed bridge. Comparisons were made for the 100-year discharge (8,000 cubic feet per second), flood of record (9,019 cfs), and 200-year discharge (13,100 cfs). The WSE remained unchanged for all three discharges for the final design. Analysis was conducted for the interim construction conditions and the increase in WSE is 0.04 feet, providing 21.9 feet of freeboard.

The proposed soffit elevation of 103.3 feet will provide 21.9- feet of freeboard above the 100-year WSE.

Average velocities range from 1.7 to 2 feet per second. Roughness coefficients of 0.07 (bank) and 0.05 (channel) were used. It was determined that no bank protection is needed at the project location due to the low velocities.

The cumulative scour for this project is approximately nine (9) feet, but no scour mitigation measures are required as the proposed CIDH piles will be designed for the estimated scour depth.

Board staff has determined that the proposed project is expected to result in no adverse hydraulic impacts to the Stanislaus River floodway, and the proposed bridge has over 20 feet of freeboard above the design discharge. The bridge is in compliance with Title 23 and is consistent with the adopted 2012 Central Valley Flood Protection Plan.

# 7.3 – Geotechnical Summary

Board staff has reviewed the geotechnical design information and has determined that the proposed project is expected to result in no adverse geotechnical impacts to the

Stanislaus River floodway. All fill, excavation, and temporary structures will be completed in compliance with Draft Permit No. 19015 and Title 23 standards.

# 8.0 – CEQA ANALYSIS

Board staff has prepared the following California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) determination:

The Board, as a responsible agency under CEQA, has reviewed the Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) (SCH No. 2013032028, March 2013), and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan for the Replacement of the Stanislaus River Bridge (Bridge No. 38C-0032) on McHenry Avenue Project, prepared by lead agency San Joaquin County. These documents, including project design, may be viewed or downloaded from the Central Valley Flood Protection Board website at <a href="http://www.cvfpb.ca.gov/meetings/2015/06-26-2015.cfm">http://www.cvfpb.ca.gov/meetings/2015/06-26-2015.cfm</a> under a link for this agenda item. These documents are available for review in hard copy at the Board and San Joaquin County offices.

San Joaquin County determined that the project would not have a significant effect on the environment on February 25, 2014 and adopted Resolution No. B-14-90. A Notice of Determination was filed on March 3, 2014 with the State Clearinghouse. Board staff finds that although the proposed project could have a potentially significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. The project proponent has incorporated mandatory mitigation measures into the project plans to avoid identified impacts or to mitigate such impacts to a point where no significant impacts will occur. These mitigation measures are included in the project proponent's IS/MND and address impacts biological resources. The description of the mitigation measures are further described in the adopted IS/MND.

# 9.0 – CALIFORNIA WATER CODE SECTION 8610.5 CONSIDERATIONS

• Evidence that the Board admits into its record from any party, federal, State or local public agency, or nongovernmental organization with expertise in flood or flood plain management:

The Board will make its decision based on the evidence in the permit application and attachments, this staff report, and any other evidence presented by any individual or group.

• The best available science related to the scientific issues presented by the executive officer, legal counsel, the Department of Water Resources, or other parties that raise credible scientific issues:

The accepted industry standards for the work proposed under this permit as regulated by Title 23 have been applied to the review of this permit.

• Effects of the decision on the facilities of the State Plan of Flood Control (SPFC), and consistency of the proposed project with the Central Valley Flood Protection Plan (CVFPP) as adopted by Board Resolution 2012-25 on June 29, 2012:

This project has no adverse effect on facilities of the SPFC and is consistent with the CVFPP and current Title 23 standards because the proposed project is expected to cause no increase in WSE, no substantial increase in channel velocities, and no adverse geotechnical impacts to Stanislaus River or any SPFC facilities.

• Effects of reasonable projected future events, including, but not limited to, changes in hydrology, climate, and development within the applicable watershed:

There are no foreseeable projected future events that would impact this project.

# **10.0 – STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Staff recommends that the Board:

# Adopt:

• the CEQA findings;

# Approve:

• draft Encroachment Permit No. 19015 in substantially the form provided; and

### Direct:

• the Executive officer to take the necessary actions to execute the permit and file a Notice of Determination pursuant to CEQA with the State Clearinghouse.

# 11.0 – LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

- A Project Map and Photos
- B Draft Permit No. 19015
  - Exhibit A: USACE 408 Decision Letter
- C Project Drawings
- D Hydraulic Summary Information

Prepared By:	Ilene Wellman-Barbree, PE, Senior Engineer, Projects and Environmental Branch
Environmental Review:	Andrea Buckley, Senior Environmental Scientist (Specialist)
Staff Report Review:	Nancy Moricz, PE, Senior Engineer, Projects and Environmental Branch
	Eric Butler, PE, Supervising Engineer, Projects and Environmental Branch Chief
	Len Marino, PE, Chief Engineer
	Nicole Rinke, Deputy Attorney General
	Leslie Gallagher, Acting Executive Officer

Attachment A- Project Map and Photo





McHenry Avenue Widening RPSTPLE-5929(196) Replacement of Stanislaus River Bridge (Bridge No. 38C-0032) on McHenry Avenue BRLS-5929(166) Replacement of SSJID Bridge (Bridge No. 29C-0166) on McHenry Avenue BRLS-5929(167)

Figure 1-1 Regional Vicinity Map

Attachment A- Project Map and Photo



# DRAFT

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA THE RESOURCES AGENCY THE CENTRAL VALLEY FLOOD PROTECTION BOARD

#### PERMIT NO. 19015 BD

This Permit is issued to:

San Joaquin County / Stanislaus County 1810 E Hazelton Avenue Stockton, California 95201

To widen and replace the McHenry Avenue bridge to accommodate a five lane configuration. The 25-span bridge will be constructed of precast and pre-streest octagonal concrete piles and cast-in-drilled-hole piles.

The project is located on McHenry Avenue crossing the Stanislaus River south of East River Road and the city of Escalon. (Section 16, T2S, R9E, MDB&M, Stanislaus River, San Joaquin County).

NOTE: Special Conditions have been incorporated herein which may place limitations on and/or require modification of your proposed project as described above.

(SEAL)

Dated: \_\_\_\_

Executive Officer

#### **GENERAL CONDITIONS:**

**ONE**: This permit is issued under the provisions of Sections 8700 – 8723 of the Water Code.

**TWO**: Only work described in the subject application is authorized hereby.

**THREE**: This permit does not grant a right to use or construct works on land owned by the Sacramento and San Joaquin Drainage District or on any other land.

**FOUR**: The approved work shall be accomplished under the direction and supervision of the State Department of Water Resources, and the permittee shall conform to all requirements of the Department and The Central Valley Flood Protection Board.

FIVE: Unless the work herein contemplated shall have been commenced within one year after issuance of this permit, the Board reserves the right to Page 1 of 6

DWR 3784 (Rev. 9/85)

change any conditions in this permit as may be consistent with current flood control standards and policies of The Central Valley Flood Protection Board.

SIX: This permit shall remain in effect until revoked. In the event any conditions in this permit are not complied with, it may be revoked on 15 days' notice.

**SEVEN**: It is understood and agreed to by the permittee that the start of any work under this permit shall constitute an acceptance of the conditions in this permit and an agreement to perform work in accordance therewith.

EIGHT: This permit does not establish any precedent with respect to any other application received by The Central Valley Flood Protection Board.

NINE: The permittee shall, when required by law, secure the written order or consent from all other public agencies having jurisdiction.

**TEN**: The permittee is responsible for all personal liability and property damage which may arise out of failure on the permittee's part to perform the obligations under this permit. If any claim of liability is made against the State of California, or any departments thereof, the United States of America, a local district or other maintaining agencies and the officers, agents or employees thereof, the permittee shall defend and shall hold each of them harmless from each claim.

**ELEVEN**: The permittee shall exercise reasonable care to operate and maintain any work authorized herein to preclude injury to or damage to any works necessary to any plan of flood control adopted by the Board or the Legislature, or interfere with the successful execution, functioning or operation of any plan of flood control adopted by the Board or the Legislature.

**TWELVE**: Should any of the work not conform to the conditions of this permit, the permittee, upon order of The Central Valley Flood Protection Board, shall in the manner prescribed by the Board be responsible for the cost and expense to remove, alter, relocate, or reconstruct all or any part of the work herein approved.

#### SPECIAL CONDITIONS FOR PERMIT NO. 19015 BD

THIRTEEN: The permittee shall defend, indemnify, and hold the Central Valley Flood Protection Board (Board) and the State of California, including its agencies, departments, boards, commissions, and their respective officers, agents, employees, successors and assigns (collectively, the "State") safe and harmless, of and from all claims and damages related to the Board's approval of this permit, including but not limited to claims filed pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act. The State expressly reserves the right to supplement or take over its defense, in its sole discretion.

FOURTEEN: The permittee is responsible for all liability associated with construction, operation, and maintenance of the permitted facilities and shall defend, indemnify, and hold the Board and the State of California; including its agencies, departments, boards, commissions, and their respective officers, agents, employees, successors and assigns (collectively, the "State"), safe and harmless, of and from all claims and damages arising from the project undertaken pursuant to this permit, all to the extent allowed by law. The State expressly reserves the right to supplement or take over its defense, in its sole discretion.

FIFTEEN: The Board and Department of Water Resources shall not be held liable for damages to the permitted encroachment(s) resulting from releases of water from reservoirs, flood fight, operation, maintenance, inspection, or emergency repair.

#### **AGENCY CONDITIONS**

SIXTEEN: The permittee shall comply with all conditions set forth in the letter from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers District Engineer dated June XX, 2015, which is attached to this permit as Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference.

SEVENTEEN: The permittee agrees to incur all costs for compliance with local, State, and Federal permitting. If any conditions issued by other agencies conflict with any of the conditions of this permit, then the permittee shall resolve conflicts between any of the terms and conditions that agencies might impose under the laws and regulations it administers and enforces.

EIGHTEEN: If the permittee does not comply with the conditions of the permit and enforcement by the Board is required, the permittee shall be responsible for bearing all costs associated with the enforcement action, including reasonable attorney's fees. Permittee acknowledges that State law allows the imposition of fines in enforcement matters.

# **REAL ESTATE**

NINETEEN: If the construction project extends onto land owned in fee and/or easement by the Sacramento and San Joaquin Drainage District acting by and through the Board, the permittee should secure an easement, license, or temporary entry permit from the Board prior to commencement of work. Contact Tom O'Neil at (916) 653-7654.

# PRE-CONSTRUCTION

TWENTY: The permittee shall contact the Board by telephone at (916) 574-0609, and submit the enclosed postcard to schedule a preconstruction conference. Failure to do so at least 20 working days prior to start of work may result in delay of the project.

TWENTY-ONE: Thirty (30) calendar days prior to start of any demolition and/or construction activities within the floodway, the permittee shall submit to the Chief Engineer two sets of plans, specifications and supporting geotechnical and/ or hydraulic impact analyses, for any and all temporary, in channel cofferdam(s), gravel work pad(s), work trestle(s), scaffolding, piles, and/or other appurtenances that are to remain in the floodway during the flood season from November 1 through July 15. The Board shall acknowledge receipt of this submittal in writing within ten (10) working days of receipt, and shall work with the permittee to review and respond to the request as quickly as possible. Time is of the essence. The Board may request additional information as needed and will seek comment from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and / or local maintaining agency when necessary. The Board will provide written notification to the permittee if the review period is likely to exceed thirty (30) calendar days.

TWENTY-TWO: Prior to commencement of work, the permittee shall create a photo record, including associated descriptions, of the existing bridge site conditions. The photo record shall be certified (signed and stamped) by a licensed land surveyor or licensed civil engineer registered in the State of California and submitted to the Board within thirty (30) calendar days of beginning the project.

TWENTY-THREE: The permittee shall provide construction supervision and inspection services acceptable to the Board.

### CONSTRUCTION

TWENTY-FOUR: All work approved by this permit shall be in accordance with the submitted drawings and specifications except as modified by special permit conditions herein. No further work, other than that approved by this permit, shall be done in the area without prior approval of the Board.

TWENTY-FIVE: All addenda or other changes made to the submitted documents by the permittee after issuance of this permit shall be submitted to the Chief Engineer for review and approval prior to incorporation into the permitted project. The submittal shall include supplemental plans, specifications, and supporting geotechnical, hydrology and hydraulics, or other technical analyses. The Board shall acknowledge receipt of the addendum or change submittal in writing within ten (10) working days of receipt, and shall work with the permittee to review and respond to the request as quickly as possible. Time is of the essence. The Board may request additional information as needed and will seek comment from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and / or the local maintaining agency when necessary. The Board will provide written notification to the permittee if the review period is likely to exceed thirty (30) calendar days. Upon approval of the submitted documents the permit shall be revised, if needed, prior to construction related to the proposed changes.

TWENTY-SIX: No construction work of any kind shall be done during the flood season from November 1 to July 15 without prior approval of the Board, and shall be removed after completion of the project.

TWENTY-SEVEN: No material stockpiles, temporary buildings, or equipment shall remain in the floodway during the flood season from November 1 to July 15.

TWENTY-EIGHT: Cleared trees and brush shall be completely burned or removed from the floodway, and downed trees or brush shall not remain in the floodway during the flood season from November 1 to July 15.

TWENTY-NINE: Piers, bents, and abutments being dismantled shall be removed to at least one (1) foot below the natural ground.

THIRTY: Backfill material for excavations shall be placed in four (4) to six (6) inch layers and compacted to at least the density of the adjacent, firm, undisturbed material.

THIRTY-ONE: All fill materials shall be placed in four (4) to six (6) inch layers and compacted to a relative compaction of not less than 90 percent per ASTM D 1557-91 or 97 percent per ASTM D 698-91 above optimum moisture content. Fill material within two feet of the bridge shall be compacted by appropriate hand operated compaction equipment. Field density tests shall be taken by a certified soils laboratory to verify compaction of the fill placed.

THIRTY-TWO: Revetment shall be uniformly placed and properly transitioned into the bank, levee slope, or adjacent revetment and in a manner which avoids segregation.

THIRTY-THREE: The revetment shall not contain any reinforcing steel, floatable, or objectionable material. Asphalt or other petroleum-based products may not be used as fill or erosion protection on the levee section or within the floodway.

THIRTY-FOUR: Temporary access ramps shall be removed from the floodway during flood season

from November 1 through July 15, and after completion of the project.

THIRTY-FIVE: All debris generated by this project shall be disposed of outside the floodway.

#### **POST-CONSTRUCTION**

THIRTY-SIX: Except with respect to the activities expressly allowed under this permit, the work area shall be restored to the condition that existed prior to start of work.

THIRTY-SEVEN: Within 120 days of completion of the project, the permittee shall submit to the Board and DWR a mylar copy of as-built drawings, stamped and signed by a licensed civil engineer registered in the State of California, certifying the work was performed and inspected in accordance with the Board permit conditions and submitted drawings and specifications.

#### **OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE**

THIRTY-EIGHT: The permittee shall be responsible for repair of any damages to the channel, banks, and floodway due to construction, operation, or maintenance of the proposed project.

THIRTY-NINE: The permittee shall maintain the permitted encroachment(s) within the utilized area in the manner required and as requested by the authorized representative of the Board, Department of Water Resources, or any other agency responsible for maintenance.

FORTY: All debris that may accumulate around the bridge supports and abutments within the floodway shall be completely removed from the floodway following each flood season.

FORTY-ONE: If the bridge is damaged to the extent that it may impair the project design channel capacity, it shall be repaired or removed prior to the next flood season.

FORTY-TWO: If the permitted encroachment(s) result in any adverse hydraulic impact or scouring the permittee shall provide appropriate mitigation acceptable to the Board.

FORTY-THREE: If erosion occurs adjacent to the permitted encroachment(s), the permittee shall repair the eroded areas and place adequate mitigation on the affected areas to prevent further erosion.

FORTY-FOUR: The permitted encroachment(s) shall not interfere with the flood conveyance capacity of the Stanislaus River. If the permitted encroachment(s) are determined by any agency responsible for operation and maintenance of the flood control project to interfere, the permittee shall be required, at permittee's cost and expense, to modify or remove the permitted encroachment(s) under direction of the Board. If the permittee does not comply, the Board may modify or remove the encroachment(s) at the permittee's expense.

FORTY-FIVE: At the request of either the permittee or the Board, the permittee and the Board shall conduct joint inspections of the project site to assess the integrity and operation of the project, and to assess and respond to any adverse impacts on the floodway or adjacent properties.

# **PROJECT ABANDONMENT, CHANGE IN PLAN OF FLOOD CONTROL**

FORTY-SIX: If the project, or any portion thereof, is to be abandoned in the future, the permittee shall abandon the project under direction of the Board, at the permittee's cost and expense.

FORTY-SEVEN: The permittee may be required, at permittee's cost and expense, to remove, alter, relocate, or reconstruct all or any part of the permitted encroachment(s) if removal, alteration, relocation, or reconstruction is necessary as part of or in conjunction with implementation of the Central Valley Flood Protection Plan or other future flood control plan or project, or if damaged by any cause. If the permittee does not comply, the Board may perform this work at the permittee's expense.

### **END OF CONDITIONS**



ma89:50 - 8105 ,21 mil. amil. gab.101\_10/box82/bv0/00A0/

niapcels mo2/19 TistlaW snipeJ

		TTAAG - JATTIMBU2 %00	DRAWING DF OF T-2 SHEET OF
DESCRETICN DREARNS FREET SIGN DETAILS FREET SIGN DETAILS TRAFFIC SIGN SURVEY MONUMERT FRAUE AND COVER SURVEY MONUMERT FRAUE THATE ADUUSTING STREET LUMPTING POLES AND SYMBOLS FREET LUMPTING POLES AND SYMBOLS FREET LUMPTING POLES AND SYMBOLS FREET LUMPTING POLES AND SYMBOLS FREET LUMPTING FOLE FRAME & COVER	ADD SCOUNTY STANDARD PLANS DESOBRIDA TFF 1 MANDE STORM DRAIN MANHOLE BASE – 48" MANHOLE FRANE & COVER RANE WITH CUB OPENING (6.0.) CATCH BASIN GRATE WITH CUB OPENING (6.0.) CATCH BASIN FRAME		REVIEW NOTES AND LEGEND
DRAWING NO. R-17 R-25 R-25 R-25 CW-M1 R-29 CW-R36 R-32 CW-S7 CW-M3 CW-M3	STANISLA DRAWING NG. 4 - F1 4 - 61 4 - 61 4 - 63 4 - 63 4 - 64 4 - 64		AVE COF
ORK ORK	L RC		McHENRY
HONE & TELEGRAPH INDEFENDENT NETW E T OVERDRAIN T OVERDRAIN T OVERDRAIN T OVERDRAIN T TANGET (BY OTHERS	JUIN IRRIGATION DIS STRUCTION EASEMEN THERWISE ALLROAD		
KI AMERICAN TELEP     MULEY     MULE     MULEY     MULEY	A REHT A SOUTH SAN JOKA A SOUTH SAN JOKA SOUTH SAN JOKA SOUTH WALL F TEMPORARY CON R UNDERFOUND K UNTER SOUTE OF K WITH MITH O WITHOUT		AJCOM ECHNICAL REPORTS, INC. 2001, STRETT, SUITE 200 COMBERID, CA 1881 FR.4.4.5800 F FREA.44, 1587
G   G			
S. AREAS) C. AREAS) E. <u>PROPOSE</u>			REPARED SY F. DeMARCO D. R. GIBSON D. J. VILLAFRANCA D. T. BARNARD
2 PAVEMENT AND SURFACING ASPHALT (MIS EXIVOID			CHECKEL
COLD PLANE A COLD PLANE BASE PLACE HOT MI PLACE HOT MI PLACE HOT MI COVENHEAU)	THE C (UNDERGROUND) C OPTIC LINE C OPTIC LINE HONE (UNDERGROUND) HONE (UNDERGROUND) HONE (UNDERGROUND) HONE LINE LINE PAOLL T POLL * INLET (D)		
	SS FIGE TELE RELE RELE RELE ASSE CULU	<b>7</b> (4)	ž
EE RIGHT OF WAY RECORD MAPS AT A RAE TO THE EDGE OF PANEMENT IN DETAIL SHEETS. IN DETAIL SHEETS. ANALKWAYS AND OTHER ANALIN IN PLACED DITER FORMAN IN PLACED DITER FORMAN IN PLACED OF THE ENCED AT THE FLOMLINE UNLESS	E APPROXIMATE ONLY. ARE TO THE TOP OF CURB, UNLES BRAVEWAYS WITH DIFFERENT SHAPES SIDEWALK OR CUBB UNICPANDT ET, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. TEL, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. AND FORMED AND THEN VERHEID PRIOR TO PLACING CONCRETE. ON OT INCLUDE INLET DEPRESSIONS ON OT INCLUDE INLET DEPRESSIONS AND TO PLACING CONCRETE. TONS OF THIS PROJECT. TONS OF THIS PROJECT.	AKAMENT REPAIR SHALL NOT BE D 0.300 IN COMPACTED THICKNESS. EE SUFFACING SHALL NOT BEGIN SED IN PAYEMENT REPAIR HAS BEEN ATOA AND ATOB FOR ABBREVATION AND AND ATOB FOR ABBREVATION IN SHOWN ON INDIVIDUAL SHEETS ONLY. DOER SHALL BE ADJUSTED TO ONLY. DOERS SHALL BE ADJUSTED TO DAT. DOER SHALL BE ADJUSTED TO DAT. DOERS SHALL BE ADJUSTED TO DAT. DOER SHALL BE ADJUSTED TO DAT. THE FOR ADBOUNTELY SCHEDULING FORTISS ON TO THE STRANDARD THE CONTRACTOR. DAT. EREFECTION AND LENGTOR. ADT. THE CONTRACTOR. DAT. EREFECTION AND THE STLANDARD ADT. THE CONTRACTOR. DAT. EREFECTION AND THE ALLOWED. ALL THE CONTRACTOR. DAT. EREFECTION AND THE ALLOWED. ALL THE CONTRACTOR. DAT. EREFECTION AND THE ALLOWED. ALL THE CONTRACTOR. DAT. EREFECTION AND TO BEGIN.	REVISION DESCRIPTION
FOR ACCURATE RIGHT OF WAY, SI COUNT OFFICES. ALL STATIONS OFFSET TO BARRIET AS SHOWN ON THE CONSTRUCTIO. AS SHOWN ON THE CONSTRUCTIO. ALL EXISTING TREES, SHOWN ON THE PLANK ENGINATER MORVING NOT FLANK ENGINEER.	EXISTING DRAINAGE LOCATIONS AR ALL CURB AND GUTTER PROFILES OFFERMES: SHOM. WHEN CONFORMING TO EXISTING I OFFERMES: TRANSITION THE INER ORE A LENGTH OF FOUR (4) FE ALL DRIVERWIS SHALL BE STAKED IN THE FIELD BY THE ENGNEER ( ALL DRIVERWIS SHALL BE STAKED IN THE FIELD BY THE ENGNEER ( FRORLE ELEVATIONS AT INLETS DIO GOVERN IN THE RESPECTIVE POINT GOVERN IN THE RESPECTIVE FOR INTERNE FRONTES SHALDOWN CONTRACTS SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY STANDARDS LOCATIONS UNLESS OTHERWISE STANDARDS CONTRACTS SON NOT TO MODIF	ASPHALT CONCRETE USED FOR P. PLACED IN LAFES WHCH EXCETE IN LAFES WHCH EXCETE US IN PLACE FOR 24 HOURS: IN PLACE FOR 24 HOURS: SEE CALTRANS STANDARD PLANS SEE CALTRANS STANDARD PLANS AND LISTED HERE IN. AND LISTED HERE IN. AND LISTED HERE IN. SUBVEY MOUNTENT FRAME AND C. SHALL APPLY TO THOSE SHEETS SUBVEY MOUNTENT FRAME AND C. SHALL APPLY TO THOSE SHEETS SUBVEY MOUNTENT FRAME AND C. SHALL APPLY TO THOSE SHEETS SUBVEY MOUNTENT FRAME AND C. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSI FORMER. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSI FORMER. AND ADTESTING SHALL SETS FOR FORMER. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSI FOR AND NO ADDITIONAL COMPE RECEITION AND TESTING SHALL DER THIS CONSERED AS NOLLOCATIONS CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSI FOR AND NO ADDITIONAL COMPE RE-TESTING SHALL BE PLANK CONTRA RE-TESTING SHALL BE RESPONSI FOR THIS CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSI FOR AND NO ADDITIONAL COMPE RE-TESTING SHALL BE RESPONSI FOR AND NO ADDITIONAL COMPE RESPONSI FOR AND NO ADDITIONAL COM	BURVEY

Attachment C- Project Drawings

 δ
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β
 β



P://san Jooquin County/6004728s\_McHenry/CADD/Struct/Sheet/River Bridge/S-101.dwg Time: Jan 13, 2015 - 07:56am Login: Walt2T





P:\5an looquin County\60047228\_McHenry\CADD\Struct\Sheet\River Bridge\S-103.dwg Time: Jan 13. 2015 - 07:56am Loon: Waltz1



P:/San Jooquin County/60047228\_MeHenry/CA0D/Struct/Street/River Bridge/S-104.dwg Time: Jan 13, 2015 - 07:56am Login: WaltzT







P./San Jooquin County/60047228\_McHenry/CADD/Struct/Street/River Bridge/S-112.dwg Time: Jan 13, 2015 - 07:56am Logia: WaltzT



P:/Son. Jooquin County/60047228\_McHenry/CADD/Struct/Sheet/River Bridge/S-113.4wg Time: Jan 13. 2015 - 07:56am Login: WaltzT



P:\San Jooquin County\60047228\_McHenry\CADD\Struct\Sheet\River Bridge\S-114.dwg Time: Jan 13. 2015 - 07:56am Login: Waltz1

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The McHenry Avenue Bridge No. 38C-032, Federal Aid No. BRLS-5929(166) (project) over Stanislaus River in San Joaquin County is proposed for construction by San Joaquin County in 2013-15. Four bridge alternatives were examined in the Type Selection Report (1). The proposed bridge will be approximately the same length as the existing bridge (1,148-ft long) and will be either a cast in place box girder bridge with a 5-ft structure depth or a precast concrete bulb-tee with a 6.5-ft structure depth. Because there is more than 20-ft of vertical freeboard, the bridges are hydraulically identical and treated as the same alternative which is shown in Figure 1 below. General Plans for the two alternatives are shown in Appendix A.



The Stanislaus River flows in a westward direction. The river forms the County line between San Joaquin County in the north and Stanislaus County in the south. The basin is approximate 1,050-square miles at the bridge. The discharges used for the bridge hydraulic analysis are taken from the recent Federal Emergency Management Agency (9) study and are shown on Table 1 below:

Table 1: Estimated discharges and water surface elevations for bridge design

	Design	Base	Flood of	USACOE	Overtopping
			Record		Flood
Frequency (Years)	50	100	> 100	200	>> 500
Discharge (cfs)	8,000	8,000	9,019	13,100	>> 41,300
Water Surface (Elev. @ u/s face of Br.)	81.4	81.4	82.2	83.8	>> 89.8

Avila and Associates, Inc. used the HEC-RAS<sup>1</sup> 3.1.3 model to estimate the water surface elevation (WSE) for the existing and proposed bridge (Alternative A and B). The modeling acquired from FEMA shows that the water surface elevation is unchanged by the proposed bridge. A summary of the comparison between existing and proposed conditions for the 100-year, Flood of Record and 200-year water surface elevations are shown in Table 2 through Table 4 below. River stationing is shown in Figure 9 below:

Table 2: Water surface elevation for the existing and proposed bridge for the 50-year and 100-year discharge 8,000 cfs

River Station	Existing	Proposed	Difference
158633.8	80.97	80.97	0.00
159708.8	81.35	81.35	0.00
159916.8	81.38	81.38	0.00
Downstream face of bridge 159917.4	81.37	81.37	0.00
Upstream face of bridge 159948	81.38	81.38	0.00
159948.8	81.38	81.38	0.00
160214.8	81.34	81.34	0.00
161560.8	81.87	81.87	0.00

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> US Army Corps of Engineers Hydraulic Engineering Center River Analysis System which backwater hydraulic model designed to perform one-dimensional hydraulic calculations for a full network of natural and constructed channels.

River Station	Existing	Proposed	Difference
158633.8	81.78	81.78	0.00
159708.8	82.19	82.19	0.00
159916.8	82.22	82.22	0.00
Downstream face of bridge 159917.4	82.22	82.22	0.00
Upstream face of bridge 159948	82.22	82.22	0.00
159948.8	82.23	82.23	0.00
160214.8	82.18	82.18	0.00
161560.8	82.75	82.75	0.00

Table 3: Water surface elevation for the existing and proposed bridge for the Flood of Record 9,019 cfs

 Table 4: Water surface elevation for the existing and proposed bridge for the 200-year discharge
 13,100 cfs

River Station	Existing	Proposed	Difference
158633.8	83.12	83.12	0.00
159708.8	83.78	83.78	0.00
159916.8	83.83	83.83	0.00
Downstream face of bridge 159917.4	83.82	83.83	0.00
Upstream face of bridge 159948	83.83	83.83	0.00
159948.8	83.84	83.84	0.00
160214.8	83.76	83.76	0.00
161560.8	84.64	84.64	0.00

The proposed bridge will be a new bridge crossing at Stanislaus River constructed on the same alignment and widened upstream of the existing bridge. In addition, the proposed soffit elevation of 103.3 at Abutment 1 will provide 22.9-feet of freeboard above the 100-year water surface elevation. Pier widths between 4 and 6 feet were examined (Alternative 1 through 3) and scour depths are shown in Table 5 below.

	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3
Pier Width (ft)	4	5	6
Equivalent Pier Size	6.8	8.5	10.2
Velocity $(Q_{100})$ (ft/s)	1.7	1.7	1.7
Existing Thalweg Elevation (ft)	57	57	57
Pier Scour (ft)	8	8	9
Contraction Scour (ft)			
Degradation			
Total Scour	7	8	9
Scour Elevation	50	49	48

Table 5: Pier scour depths and total scour elevations for proposed Stanislaus River Bridge

The large diameter shafts shed debris much better than small pile extensions and/or pier walls. Potential debris capture has been accounted for in the scour analysis. No scour mitigation measures are required as the proposed CIDH piles will be designed for the estimated scour depth.

According to the Geotechnical Report (12), the main channel is composed of very-loose sand, silt and gravel to a depth of about 15-feet underlain by medium-dense, medium-grained sand, silt and gravel while the embankment and floodplain are composed of alternative layers of loose to medium dense silty sand, poorly graded sand and silt interbedded with soft to firm silt and sandy silt to a depth of about 35 feet below existing grade. The estimated scour is consistent with the geotechnical observations.